arXiv:1403.7140v1 [math.AP] 27 Mar 2014

BOUNDARY PROBLEMS FOR MU-TRANSMISSION PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS, INCLUDING FRACTIONAL LAPLACIANS

Gerd Grubb

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Copenhagen University, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark. E-mail grubb@math.ku.dk

Abstract. A classical pseudodifferential operator P on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the μ-transmission condition relative to a smooth open subset Ω, when the symbol terms have a certain twisted parity on the normal to $\partial\Omega$. As shown recently by the author, the condition assures solvability of Dirichlet-type boundary problems for P in full scales of Sobolev spaces with a singularity $d^{\mu-k}$, $d(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$. Examples include fractional Laplacians $(-\Delta)^a$ and complex powers of strongly elliptic PDE.

We now introduce new boundary conditions, of Neumann type or more general nonlocal. It is also shown how problems with data on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ reduce to problems supported on $\overline{\Omega}$, and how the so-called "large" solutions arise. Moreover, the results are extended to general function spaces, including Hölder-Zygmund spaces. This leads to optimal Hölder estimates, e.g. for Dirichlet solutions of $(-\Delta)^a u = f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, $u \in d^a C^a(\overline{\Omega})$ when $0 < a < 1$, $a \neq \frac{1}{2}$.

Boundary value problems for elliptic pseudodifferential operators (ψdo's) P, on a smooth subset Ω of a Riemanninan manifold Ω_1, have been studied under various hypotheses through the years. There is a well-known calculus initiated by Boutet de Monvel [B71, RS82, G84, G90, G96, S01, G09] for integer-order ψdo's with the 0-transmission property (preserving C^∞ up to the boundary), including boundary value problems for elliptic differential operators and their inverses. There are theories treating more general operators with suitable factorizations of the principal symbol, initiated by Vishik and Eskin, see e.g. [E81, S94, CD01]. Theories for operators without the transmission property have been developed by Schulze and coauthors, see e.g. [RS84, HS08], and theories where the boundary is considered as a singularity of the manifold have been developed in works of Melrose and coauthors, see e.g. [M93, AM09].

A category of ψdo's lying between the operators handled by the Boutet de Monvel calculus and the very general categories mentioned above, consists of the ψdo's with a μ-transmission property, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, with respect to $\partial\Omega$. Only recently, a systematic study in H^s_p Sobolev spaces was given in Grubb [G13], departing from a result on such operators in C^∞-spaces by Hörmander [H85] Th. 18.2.18 (in fact developed from a lecture note

Key words and phrases. Fractional Laplacian; boundary regularity; Dirichlet and Neumann condition; large solutions; Hölder-Zygmund spaces; transmission properties; pseudodifferential operators; singular integral operators.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

of Hörmander [H65]). This category includes fractional Laplacians $(-\Delta)^a$ and complex powers of strongly elliptic differential operators, and also more generally polyhomogeneous ψdo's with symbol $p \sim \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} p_j$ having *even parity* ($p_j(x,-\xi) = (-1)^j p_j(x,\xi)$ for $j \geq 0$) or a twisted parity involving a factor $e^{i\pi\varrho}$. The general μ-transmission operators have such a reflection property of the symbol at $\partial\Omega$ just in the normal direction, see (1.4) below.

The fractional Laplacian and its generalizations, often formulated as singular integral operators, are currently of interest both in probability theory and finance, in mathematical physics and in geometry.

The work [G13] showed the Fredholm solvability of homogeneous or nonhomogeneous Dirichlet-type problems in large scales of Sobolev spaces, for μ-transmission ψdo's. In the present paper we introduce more general boundary conditions and find criteria for their solvability. There are the general nonlocal conditions $\gamma_0 Bu = \psi$, where B is a μ-transmission ψdo; in addition to this, local higher-order conditions such as a Neumann-type condition involving the normal derivative at $\partial\Omega$ are considered.

Moreover, we show by use of Johnsen [J96] that the theory also works in Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $B^s_{p,q}$ and $F^s_{p,q}$, with special attention to the spaces $B^s_{\infty,\infty}$ that coincide with Hölder-Zygmund spaces $\mathcal{C}^s$ for $s > 0$. This allows a sharpening of the results for $(-\Delta)^a$ (and other a-transmission operators) in Hölder spaces in comparison with [G13]: Let $\overline{\Omega}$ be compact $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For solutions $u \in e^+L_\infty(\Omega)$ of $r^+(-\Delta)^a u = f$,

$$f \in L_\infty(\Omega) \implies u \in e^+ d(x)^a C^a(\overline{\Omega}), \text{ when } a \in]0,1[\,,\ a \neq \tfrac{1}{2}, \tag{0.1}$$

which is optimal in the Hölder exponent. (Also higher regularities are treated.) In a new work [RS14], Ros-Oton and Serra have studied integral operators with homogeneous, positive, even kernel and obtained (0.1) with C^a replaced by $C^{a-\varepsilon}$; in the smooth case this is covered by the present theory. (We are concerned with linear operators; the nonlinear implications in [RS14] are not touched here.) Such operators were treated in cases without boundary by Caffarelli and Silvestre [CS09].

Furthermore, we show the equivalence of Dirichlet problems for u supported in $\overline{\Omega}$ with problems prescribing a value of u on the exterior $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$, obtaining new results for the latter, that were treated recently by e.g. Felsinger, Kassman and Voigt in [FKV13] and Abatangelo in [A13].

For nonhomogeneous problems the solutions can be "large" at the boundary, cf. [A13] and its references. We show how the solutions have a specific power singularity when the boundary data are nontrivial.

Applications to spectral theory for mixed boundary value problems are under preparation for a subsequent publication.

Outline. In Section 1, we recall briefly the relevant definitions of operators and spaces. Section 2 presents the basic results on Dirichlet and Neumann problems for $(-\Delta)^a$, including situations with given exterior data. Section 3 explains the extension of the general results to Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, in particular $B^s_{\infty,\infty}$. Section 4 introduces new nonlocal boundary conditions $\gamma_0 Bu = \psi$, as well as Neumann-type conditions that are local. The Appendix illustrates the theory by treating a particular constant-coefficient case, showing how the problems for $(1-\Delta)^a$ on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ can be solved in full detail by explicit calculations.

1. Preliminaries

The notations of [G13] will be used. We shall give a brief account here, and refer there for further details.

Consider a Riemannian n-dimensional C^∞ manifold Ω_1 (it can be $\mathbb{R}^n$) and an embedded smooth n-dimensional manifold $\overline{\Omega}$ with boundary $\partial\Omega$ and interior Ω. For $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$, Ω can be $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n \gtrless 0\}$; here $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = x'$. For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote $(1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac12} = \langle\xi\rangle$, and denote by $[\xi]$ a positive C^∞-function equal to $|\xi|$ for $|\xi| \geq 1$ and $\geq \frac12$ for all ξ. Restriction from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ (or from Ω_1 to Ω resp. $\complement\overline{\Omega}$) is denoted r^+, extension by zero from $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ (or from Ω resp. $\complement\overline{\Omega}$ to Ω_1) is denoted e^+.

A pseudodifferential operator (ψdo) P on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined from a symbol $p(x,\xi)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$Pu = p(x,D)u = \mathrm{OP}(p(x,\xi))u = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{ix\cdot\xi} p(x,\xi)\hat{u}\, d\xi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi\to x}(p(x,\xi)\hat{u}(\xi)); \tag{1.1}$$

here $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform $(\mathcal{F}u)(\xi) = \hat{u}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} u(x)\, dx$. The symbol p is assumed to be such that $\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha p(x,\xi)$ is $O(\langle\xi\rangle^{r-|\alpha|})$ for all α, β, for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$ (defining the symbol class $S^r_{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$); then it has order r. The definition of P is carried over to manifolds by use of local coordinates. We refer to textbooks such as [H85], [T91], [G09] for the rules of calculus; [G09] moreover gives an account of the Boutet de Monvel calculus of pseudodifferential boundary problems, cf. also e.g. [G96], [S01]. When P is a ψdo on $\mathbb{R}^n$ or Ω_1, $P_+ = r^+ P e^+$ denotes its truncation to $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ resp. Ω.

Let $1 < p < \infty$ (with $1/p' = 1 - 1/p$), then we define for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} H^s_p(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\langle\xi\rangle^s \hat{u}) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \\ \dot{H}^s_p(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) &= \{u \in H^s_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \operatorname{supp} u \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+\}, \\ \overline{H}^s_p(\mathbb{R}^n_+) &= \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n_+) \mid u = r^+U \text{ for some } U \in H^s_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\}; \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

here $\operatorname{supp} u$ denotes the support of u. For $\overline{\Omega}$ compact $\subset \Omega_1$, the definition extends to define $\dot{H}^s_p(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\overline{H}^s_p(\Omega)$ by use of a finite system of local coordinates. We shall in the present paper moreover involve the Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces $F^s_{p,q}$ and $B^s_{p,q}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ ($p < \infty$ in the F-case), and the derived spaces $\dot{F}^s_{p,q}$ and $\overline{F}^s_{p,q}$, etc. Here we refer to Triebel [T95] and Johnsen [J96] for the basic explanations. ([T95] writes $\widetilde{F}$ instead of $\dot{F}$, etc., the present notation stems from Hörmander's works.) For Hölder spaces C^t, $\dot{C}^t(\overline{\Omega})$ denotes the Hölder function on Ω_1 supported in $\overline{\Omega}$. $B^s_{p,p}$ is also denoted B^s_p when $p < \infty$, and $F^s_{p,2} = H^s_p$.

We shall use the conventions $\bigcup_{\varepsilon>0} H^{s+\varepsilon}_p = H^{s+0}_p$, $\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} H^{s-\varepsilon}_p = H^{s-0}_p$, applied in a similar way for the other scales of spaces.

The results will in particular be formulated in the scale of $B^s_{\infty,\infty}$-spaces. These are interesting because $B^s_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ identifies with the Hölder-Zygmund space $\mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $s > 0$, where $\mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ equals the ordinary Hölder space $C^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when in addition $s \notin \mathbb{N}$. (Similar statements for derived spaces over $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and Ω.) For integer values one has, with $C^k_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denoting the space of functions with bounded continuous derivatives up to order k,

$$C^k_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C^{k-0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ when } k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset B^0_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{1.3}$$

and similar statements for derived spaces. — There is a very readable explanation in Section 8.6 of [H97] of the $B^s_{\infty,\infty}$-spaces, called Zygmund spaces C^s_* for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$ there.

A ψdo P is called classical (or polyhomogeneous) when the symbol p has an asymptotic expansion $p(x,\xi)\sim\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}p_j(x,\xi)$ with p_j homogeneous in ξ of degree $m-j$ for all j. Then P has order m. One can even allow m to be complex; then $p\in S^{\operatorname{Re}m}_{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$; the operator and symbol are still said to be of order m.

Here there is an additional definition: P satisfies *the μ-transmission condition* (in short: is of type μ) for some $\mu\in\mathbb{C}$ when, in local coordinates,

$$\partial_x^\beta\partial_\xi^\alpha p_j(x,-N)=e^{\pi i(m-j-|\alpha|-2\mu)}\partial_x^\beta\partial_\xi^\alpha p_j(x,N), \tag{1.4}$$

for all $x\in\partial\Omega$, all j,α,β, where N denotes the interior normal to $\partial\Omega$ at x. The implications of the μ-transmission property were a main subject of [G13].

A special role in the theory is played by the *order-reducing operators*. There is a simple definition of operators $\Xi^\mu_\pm$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\Xi^\mu_\pm=\operatorname{OP}(([\xi']\pm i\xi_n)^\mu)$$

(or with $[\xi']$ replaced by $\langle\xi'\rangle$). Here the function $([\xi']\pm i\xi_n)^\mu$ does not satisfy all the estimates required for the class $S^{\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, but the operators are useful for some purposes. There is a more refined choice $\Lambda^\mu_\pm$ that does satisfy all the estimates, and there is a definition $\Lambda^{(\mu)}_\pm$ in the manifold situation. These operators define homeomorphisms for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$ such as

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^{(\mu)}_+&\colon\dot H^s_p(\overline\Omega)\xrightarrow{\sim}\dot H^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}_p(\overline\Omega),\\ \Lambda^{(\mu)}_{-,+}&\colon\overline H^s_p(\Omega)\xrightarrow{\sim}\overline H^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}_p(\Omega);\end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

here $\Lambda^{(\mu)}_{-,+}$ is short for $r^+\Lambda^{(\mu)}_-e^+$, suitably extended to large negative s (cf. Rem. 1.1 and Th. 1.3 in [G13]).

The following special spaces are particularly adapted to μ-transmission operators P:

$$\begin{aligned}H^{\mu(s)}_p(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)&=\Xi^{-\mu}_+e^+\overline H^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}_p(\mathbb{R}^n_+),\quad s>\operatorname{Re}\mu-1/p',\\ H^{\mu(s)}_p(\overline\Omega)&=\Lambda^{(-\mu)}_+e^+\overline H^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}_p(\Omega),\quad s>\operatorname{Re}\mu-1/p',\\ \mathcal{E}_\mu(\overline\Omega)&=e^+\{u(x)=d(x)^\mu v(x)\mid v\in C^\infty(\overline\Omega)\},\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

in the sense that r^+P (of order m) maps them into $\overline H^{s-\operatorname{Re}m}_p(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, $\overline H^{s-\operatorname{Re}m}_p(\Omega)$ resp. $C^\infty(\overline\Omega)$ (cf. [G13] Sections 1.3, 2, 4). In the third line, $\operatorname{Re}\mu>-1$ (for other μ, cf. [G13]) and $d(x)$ is a C^∞-function vanishing to order 1 at $\partial\Omega$, e.g. $d(x)=\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)$ near $\partial\Omega$. One has that $H^{\mu(s)}_p(\overline\Omega)\supset\dot H^s_p(\overline\Omega)$, and the distributions are locally in H^s_p on Ω, but at the boundary they in general have a singular behavior. More about that in the text below.

The order-reducing operators also operate in the Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, satisfying the relevant versions of (1.5), and the definitions (1.6) extend.

2. Three basic problems for the fractional Laplacian

For clarity, we start out by giving a detailed presentation of boundary problems for the basic example of the fractional Laplacian.

Let $P_a = (-\Delta)^a$, $a > 0$, and let Ω be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a C^∞-boundary $\partial\Omega = \Sigma$. P_a, acting as $u \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^{2a}\hat{u})$, is a pseudodifferential operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of order $2a$, and it is of type a and has factorization index a relative to Ω, as defined in [G13]. With a terminology introduced by Hörmander in the notes [H65] and now exposed in [G13], we consider the following problems for P_a:

(1) *The homogeneous Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{cases} r^+P_a u &= f \text{ on } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{supp} u &\subset \overline{\Omega}. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

(2) *A nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem* (with u less regular than in (2.1))

$$\begin{cases} r^+P_a u &= f \text{ on } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{supp} u &\subset \overline{\Omega}, \\ d(x)^{1-a}u &= \varphi \text{ on } \Sigma. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

(3) *A nonhomogeneous Neumann problem*

$$\begin{cases} r^+P_a u &= f \text{ on } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{supp} u &\subset \overline{\Omega}, \\ \partial_n(d(x)^{1-a}u) &= \psi \text{ on } \Sigma. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

It is shown in [G13] that (2.1) and (2.2) have good solvability properties in suitable Sobolev spaces and Hölder spaces, and we shall include (2.3) in the study below. In the following, we derive further properties of each of the three problems.

Remark 2.1. The theorems in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 below are also valid when $(-\Delta)^a$ is replaced by a general a-transmission ψdo P of order $2a$ and with factorization index a, except that the bijectiveness is replaced by the Fredholm property; they also hold when $\overline{\Omega}$ is a compact subset of a manifold Ω_1. The results in Section 2.3 extend to such operators when they are principally like $(-\Delta)^a$.

In the appendix of this paper we have included a treatment of $(1-\Delta)^a$ on a half-space; it is a model case where one can obtain the solvability results directly by Fourier transformation.

2.1 The homogeneous Dirichlet problem.

From a point of view of functional analysis (as used e.g. in Frank and Geisinger [FG11]), it is natural to define the Dirichlet realization $P_{a,D}$ as the Friedrichs extension of the symmetric operator $P_{a,0}$ in $L_2(\Omega)$ acting like r^+P_a with domain $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. There is an associated sesquilinear form

$$p_{a,0}(u,v) = (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2a}\hat{u}(\xi)\bar{\hat{v}}(\xi)\,d\xi, \quad u,v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \tag{2.4}$$

Since $(\|u\|_{L_2}^2 + \int |\xi|^{2a}|\hat u|^2\,d\xi)^{\frac12}$ is a norm equivalent with $\|u\|_{H_2^a}$, the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in this norm is $V = \dot H_2^a(\overline\Omega)$, and $p_{a,0}$ extends to a continuous nonnegative symmetric sesquilinear form on V. A standard application of the Lax-Milgram lemma (e.g. as in [G09], Ch. 12) gives an operator $P_{a,D}$ that is selfadjoint nonnegative in $L_2(\Omega)$ and acts like $r^+P_a : \dot H_2^a(\overline\Omega) \to \overline H_2^{\,-a}(\Omega)$, with domain

$$D(P_{a,D}) = \{u \in \dot H_2^a(\overline\Omega) \mid r^+P_au \in L_2(\Omega)\}. \tag{2.5}$$

The operator has compact resolvent, and the spectrum is a nondecreasing sequence of nonnegative eigenvalues going to infinity. As we shall document below, 0 is not an eigenvalue, so $P_{a,D}$ in fact has a positive lower bound and is invertible.

The results of [G13] clarify the mapping properties and solvability properties further: For $1 < p < \infty$, r^+P_a maps continuously

$$r^+P_a\colon H_p^{a(s)}(\overline\Omega) \to \overline H_p^{s-2a}(\Omega),\ \text{when } s > a - 1/p'; \tag{2.6}$$

there is the regularity result

$$u \in \dot H_p^{a-1/p'+0}(\overline\Omega),\ r^+P_au \in \overline H_p^{s-2a}(\Omega) \implies u \in H_p^{a(s)}(\overline\Omega),\ \text{when } s > a - 1/p', \tag{2.7}$$

and the mapping (2.6) is Fredholm. (It is even bijective, as seen below.) As an application of the results for $s = 2a$, $p = 2$, we have in particular that

$$D(P_{a,D}) = H_2^{a(2a)}(\overline\Omega) = \Lambda_+^{(-a)}e^+\overline H_2^a(\Omega), \tag{2.8}$$

see also Example 7.2 in [G13]. We recall from [G13] Th. 5.4 that

$$H_p^{a(s)}(\overline\Omega)\begin{cases} = \dot H_p^s(\overline\Omega),\ \text{when } a - 1/p' < s < a + 1/p,\\ \subset \dot H_p^{s-0}(\overline\Omega),\ \text{when } s = a + 1/p,\\ \subset e^+d^a\overline H_p^{s-a}(\overline\Omega) + \dot H_p^s(\overline\Omega),\ \text{when } s > a + 1/p, s - a - 1/p \notin \mathbb{N},\\ \subset e^+d^a\overline H_p^{s-a}(\overline\Omega) + \dot H_p^{s-0}(\overline\Omega),\ \text{when } s - a - 1/p \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

In [G13] we used Sobolev embedding theorems to draw conclusions for Hölder spaces, cf. Section 7 there. Slightly sharper (often optimal) results can be obtained if we go via an extension of the results of [G13] to the general scales of Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces $F_{p,q}^s$ and $B_{p,q}^s$. The extended theory will be presented in detail for the $B_{\infty,\infty}^s$-spaces below in Section 3; for the moment we shall borrow the results to give powerful statements for $(-\Delta)^a$, $0 < a < 1$. We here use that $B_{\infty,\infty}^s$ identifies with the Hölder-Zygmund space $\mathcal{C}^s$ when $s > 0$, and $\mathcal{C}^s$ equals the ordinary Hölder space C^s when in addition $s \notin \mathbb{N}$; cf. also (1.3). Moreover, cf. Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 below,

$$\begin{aligned} &B_{\infty,\infty}^{\mu(s)}(\overline\Omega) = \Lambda_+^{(-\mu)}e^+\overline B_{\infty,\infty}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\Omega) \text{ for } s > \operatorname{Re}\mu - 1, \text{ and}\\ &B_{\infty,\infty}^{\mu(s)}(\overline\Omega) \subset \begin{cases} d(x)^\mu e^+\overline B_{\infty,\infty}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\Omega) + \dot B_{\infty,\infty}^s(\overline\Omega) \text{ when } s > \operatorname{Re}\mu,\ s - \operatorname{Re}\mu \notin \mathbb{N},\\ d(x)^\mu e^+\overline B_{\infty,\infty}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\Omega) + \dot B_{\infty,\infty}^{s-0}(\overline\Omega) \text{ when } s > \operatorname{Re}\mu,\ s - \operatorname{Re}\mu \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Note also that the distributions in $B_{\infty,\infty}^{\mu(s)}(\overline\Omega)$ are locally in $B_{\infty,\infty}^s$ on Ω, by the ellipticity of $\Lambda_+^{(-\mu)}$.

We focus in the following on the case $0 < a < 1$, assumed from now on. Here we find the following results, with conclusions formulated in ordinary Hölder spaces:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $s > a-1$. If $u \in \dot{B}^{a-1+\varepsilon}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ (e.g. if $u \in e^+L_\infty(\Omega)$), and $r^+Pu \in \overline{B}^{s-2a}_{\infty,\infty}(\Omega)$, then $u \in B^{a(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$. The mapping r^+P_a defines a bijection*

$$r^+P_a\colon B^{a(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) \to \overline{B}^{s-2a}_{\infty,\infty}(\Omega). \tag{2.11}$$

In particular, for any $f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, there exists a unique solution u of (2.1) in $B^{a(2a)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$; it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &u \in e^+d(x)^aC^a(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{2a}(\Omega), \text{ when } a \neq \tfrac12,\\ &u \in \big(e^+d(x)^{\frac12}C^{\frac12}(\overline{\Omega}) + \dot{C}^{1-0}(\overline{\Omega})\big) \cap C^{1-0}(\Omega)\\ &\quad \subset e^+d(x)^{\frac12}C^{\frac12-0}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{1-0}(\Omega), \text{ when } a = \tfrac12. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

For $f \in C^t(\overline{\Omega})$, $t > 0$, the solution satisfies

$$u \in \begin{cases} e^+d(x)^aC^{a+t}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{2a+t}(\Omega), \text{ when } a+t \text{ and } 2a+t \notin \mathbb{N},\\ \big(e^+d(x)^aC^{a+t-0}(\overline{\Omega}) + \dot{C}^{2a+t-0}(\overline{\Omega})\big) \cap C^{2a+t}(\Omega), \text{ when } a+t \in \mathbb{N}\\ \big(e^+d(x)^aC^{a+t}(\overline{\Omega}) + \dot{C}^{2a+t-0}(\overline{\Omega})\big) \cap C^{2a+t-0}(\Omega), \text{ when } 2a+t \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. The first two statements are a special case of Theorem 3.2 below, except that we have replaced the Fredholm property with bijectiveness. According to Ros-Oton and Serra [RS12], there is uniqueness of the solution in $\dot{H}^a_2(\overline{\Omega})$ of the problem (2.1) with $f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, by the inequality $\|u\|_{C^a} \le C\|f\|_{L_\infty}$. For $f \in \overline{H}_2^{-a}(\Omega)$, the Fredholm property of r^+P from $H_2^{a(a)}(\overline{\Omega}) = \dot{H}^a_2(\overline{\Omega})$ to $\overline{H}_2^{-a}(\Omega)$ is covered by [G13] Th. 7.1 with $s = a$, $p = 2$, and the kernel $\mathcal{N}$ is in $\mathcal{E}_a(\overline{\Omega})$ by Theorem 3.4 below. If the kernel were nonzero, there would exist nontrivial null-solutions $u \in \mathcal{E}_a(\overline{\Omega})$, contradicting the uniqueness for $f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$ mentioned above. Thus $\mathcal{N} = 0$. Then the kernel of the Dirichlet realization $P_{a,D}$ in $L_2(\Omega)$ recalled above is likewise 0, and since it is a selfadjoint operator with compact resolvent, it must be bijective. So the cokernel in $L_2(\Omega)$ is likewise 0. This bijectivity carries over to all the other versions, in particular the mapping in $B^s_{\infty,\infty}$-spaces, in view of Theorem 3.4 below.

For (2.12) we use Theorem 3.3 (as recalled in (2.10)), noting that $\overline{B}^a_{\infty,\infty}(\Omega) = C^a(\overline{\Omega})$, that $\dot{B}^{2a}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) = \dot{C}^{2a}(\overline{\Omega}) \subset d(x)^aC^a(\overline{\Omega})$ when $a \neq \frac12$, and that $u \in C^{2a}(\Omega)$ by interior regularity when $a \neq \frac12$, with slightly weaker statements when $a = \frac12$. The rest of the statements follow similarly by use of (2.10) with $\mu = a$ and the various informations on the relation betweeen the $B^s_{\infty,\infty}$-spaces and standard Hölder spaces. □

Ros-Oton and Serra showed in [RS12], under weaker smoothness hypotheses, the inclusion $u \in d^aC^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$ for an α with $0 < \alpha < \min\{a, 1-a\}$, and improve it in a new work [RS14] to $\alpha = a - \varepsilon$; they observe that $\alpha > a$ cannot be obtained, so $\alpha = a$ that we obtain in (2.12) is optimal.

We also have (as shown in [G13]) that

$$r^+P_au \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}) \iff u \in \mathcal{E}_a(\overline{\Omega}) \equiv \{u = e^+d(x)^av(x) \mid v \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})\}. \tag{2.14}$$

It is worth emphasizing that the functions in $\mathcal{E}_a$ have a nontrivially singular behavior at Σ when $a \notin \mathbb{N}_0$; $e^+C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\mathcal{E}_a(\overline{\Omega})$ are very different spaces.

The solution operator is denoted R; its form as a composition of pseudodifferential factors was given in [G13].

There is another point of view on the Dirichlet problem for P_a that we shall also discuss. In a number of papers, see e.g. Hoh and Jacob [HJ96], Felsinger, Kassman and Vogt [FKV13] and their references, the Dirichlet problem for P_a (and other related operators) is formulated as

$$\begin{cases} P_aU &= f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ U &= g \text{ on } \complement\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

Although the main aim is to determine U on Ω, the prescription of the values of U on $\complement\Omega$ is explained as necessitated by the nonlocalness of P_a. As observed explicitly in [HJ96], the transmission property of Boutet de Monvel [B71] is not satisfied; hence that theory of boundary problems for pseudodifferential operators is of no help. But now that we have the μ-transmission calculus, it is worth investigating what the methods can give.

The case $g = 0$ corresponds to the formulation (2.1). But also in general, (2.15) can be reduced to (2.1) when the spaces are suitably chosen. For (2.15), let f be given in $\overline{H}_p^{s-2a}(\Omega)$ (with $s > a - 1/p'$), and let g be given in $\overline{H}_p^s(\complement\overline{\Omega})$; then we search for U in a Sobolev space over $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $G = \ell g$ be an extension of g to $H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $u = U - G$ must satisfy

$$\begin{cases} r^+P_au &= f - r^+P_aG \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{supp} u &\subset \overline{\Omega}. \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

Here $P_aG \in H^{s-2a}_{p,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so $f - r^+P_aG \in \overline{H}_p^{s-2a}(\Omega)$.

According to our analysis of (2.1), there is a unique solution $u = R(f - r^+P_aG) \in H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\Omega})$ of (2.16). Then (2.15) has the solution $U = u + G \in H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) + H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, there is at most one solution to (2.15) in this space, for if $U_1 = u_1 + G_1$ and $U_2 = u_2 + G_2$ are two solutions, then $v = u_1 - u_2 + G_1 - G_2$ is supported in $\overline{\Omega}$, hence lies in $H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) + \dot{H}_p^s(\overline{\Omega}) = H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\Omega})$ and satisfies (2.1) with $f = 0$, hence it must be 0.

This reduction allows a study of higher regularity of the solutions. The treatment in [FKV13] seems primarily directed towards the regularity involved in variational formulations ($p = 2$, $s = a$) where Vishik and Eskin's results would be applicable; moreover, [FKV13] allows a less smooth boundary.

We have shown:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $s > a-1/p'$, and let $f \in \overline{H}_p^{s-2a}(\Omega)$ and $g \in \overline{H}_p^s(\complement\overline{\Omega})$ be given. Then the problem* (2.15) *has the unique solution $U = u+G \in H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\Omega})+H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $G \in H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an extension of g and*

$$u = R(f - r^+P_aG) \in H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\Omega}); \tag{2.17}$$

here R is the solution operator for (2.1).

Observe in particular that the solution is independent of the choice of extension operator $\ell\colon g \mapsto G$.

There is an immediate corollary for solutions in Hölder spaces (as in [G13] Sect. 7):

Corollary 2.4. *Let $p > n/a$. For $f \in L_p(\Omega)$, $g \in C^{2a+0}(\complement\Omega) \cap \overline{H}_p^{2a}(\complement\overline{\Omega})$, the solution of* (2.15) *according to Theorem* 2.3 *satisfies*

$$U \in e^+ d^a C^{a-n/p}(\overline{\Omega}) + C^{2a+0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H_p^{2a}(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{2.18}$$

if $2a - n/p \neq 1$. If $2a - n/p$ equals 1, we need to add the space $\dot{C}^{1-0}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. The intersection with $\overline{H}_p^{2a}(\complement\overline{\Omega})$ serves as a bound at ∞. We extend g to a function $G \in C^{2a+0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $G \in C^{2a+0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H_p^{2a}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (since $C^{t+0} \subset H_p^t$ over bounded sets). Theorem 2.3 now gives the existence of a solution $U = u + G$, where $u \in H_p^{a(2a)}(\overline{\Omega})$. By [G13] Cor. 5.5, cf. (2.9) above, this is contained in $d^a C^{a-n/p}(\overline{\Omega})$ when $2a - n/p \neq 1$ ($a - 1/p$ and $a - n/p$ are already noninteger). If $2a - p/n = 1$, then we have to add the space $\dot{C}^{1-0}(\overline{\Omega})$, due to the embedding $\dot{H}_p^{1+n/p}(\overline{\Omega}) \subset \dot{C}^{1-0}(\overline{\Omega})$. □

Results for problems with $f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$ or Hölder-spaces were obtained in [G13] by letting $p \to \infty$; here we get sharper results by applying the general method to the $B^s_{\infty,\infty}$-scale. Repeating the proof of Theorem 2.3 in this scale, we find:

Theorem 2.5. *Let $s > a - 1$, and let $f \in \overline{B}^{s-2a}_{\infty,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $g \in \overline{B}^{s}_{\infty,\infty}(\complement\overline{\Omega})$ be given. Then the problem* (2.15) *has the unique solution $U = u + G \in B^{a(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) + B^s_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $G \in B^s_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an extension of g and*

$$u = R(f - r^+ P_a G) \in B^{a(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega}); \tag{2.19}$$

here R is the solution operator for (2.1).

This allows to conclude:

Corollary 2.6. 1° *For $f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, $g \in C^{2a}_{\rm comp}(\complement\Omega)$, the solution of* (2.15) *according to Theorem* 2.5 *satisfies*

$$U \in e^+ d^a C^a(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{2a}(\Omega) + C^{2a}_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{2.20}$$

with $2a$ replaced by $1 - 0$ if $a = \frac{1}{2}$.

2° *Let X be any of the function spaces $F^\sigma_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $B^\sigma_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and denote by $X_{\rm ext}$ the subset of elements with support disjoint from $\overline{\Omega}$. For $f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, $g \in C^{2a}_{\rm comp}(\complement\Omega) + X_{\rm ext}$, there exists a solution U of* (2.15) *satisfying*

$$U \in e^+ d^a C^a(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{2a}(\Omega) + C^{2a}_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^n) + X_{\rm ext}, \tag{2.21}$$

with $2a$ replaced by $1 - 0$ if $a = \frac{1}{2}$.

3° *For $f \in C^t(\overline{\Omega})$, $g \in C^{2a+t}_{\rm comp}(\complement\Omega) + X_{\rm ext}$, $t > 0$, the solution according to 2° satisfies*

$$U \in e^+ d^a C^{a+t}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{2a+t}(\Omega) + C^{2a+t}_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^n) + X_{\rm ext}, \tag{2.22}$$

with $a + t$ resp. $2a + t$ replaced by $a + t - 0$ resp. $2a + t - 0$ when they hit an integer.

Proof. 1°. That $g \in C^{2a}_{\rm comp}(\complement\Omega)$ means that g is in C^{2a} over the closed set $\complement\Omega$ and vanishes outside a large ball; it extends to a function $G \in C^{2a}_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $C^{2a}_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset$

$B^{2a}_{\mathrm{comp},\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the construction in Theorem 2.5 gives a solution $U = u + G$, where u is as in (2.12).

2°. The function spaces are as described e.g. in [J96], with $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ ($p < \infty$ in the F-case), and ψdo's are well-defined in these spaces. We write $g = g_1 + g_2$, where $g_1 \in C^{2a}_{\mathrm{comp}}(\complement\Omega)$ and $g_2 \in X_{\mathrm{ext}}$. The problem (2.15) with g replaced by g_1 has a solution $u_1 + G_1$ as under 1°. For the problem (2.15) with f replaced by 0 and g replaced by g_2 we take $G_2 = g_2$. Then $P_a G_2$ is C^∞ on a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$ (by the pseudolocal property of pseudodifferential operators, cf. e.g. [G09], p. 177), so the reduced problem has a solution $u_2 \in \mathcal{E}_a(\overline{\Omega})$, and the given problem then has the solution $u_2 + g_2$.

The sum of the solutions $u_1 + G_1 + u_2 + g_2$ solves (2.15) and lies in the asserted space.

3° is shown in a similar way, using (2.13). □

Remark 2.7. Note that according to the corollary, the effect over $\overline{\Omega}$ of an exterior contribution supported at a distance from $\overline{\Omega}$ is only a term in $\mathcal{E}_a(\overline{\Omega})$.

2.2 A nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem.

For the nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem (2.2), the crucial observation that leads to its solvability is that we can identify $\mathcal{E}_{a-1}(\overline{\Omega})/\mathcal{E}_a(\overline{\Omega})$ with $C^\infty(\Sigma)$ by use of the mapping

$$\gamma_{a-1,0}\colon u \mapsto \Gamma(a)(d(x)^{1-a}u)|_\Sigma \equiv \Gamma(a)\gamma_0(d^{1-a}u). \tag{2.23}$$

(The gamma-function is included for consistency in calculations of Fourier transformations and Taylor expansions.) Namely, using normal and tangential coordinates $x = y' + y_n\vec{n}(y')$ on a tubular neighborhood $U_\delta = \{y' + y_n\vec{n}(y') \mid y' \in \Sigma, |y_n| < \delta\}$ of Σ (where $\vec{n}(y')$ denotes the interior normal at y'), we have for $v \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ that

$$v(x) = v(y' + y_n\vec{n}) = v_0(y') + y_n w(x) \text{ on } U_\delta \cap \overline{\Omega},$$

where $v_0 \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ is the restriction of v to Σ (also denoted $\gamma_0 v$), and w is C^∞ on $U_\delta \cap \overline{\Omega}$. Now when $u \in \mathcal{E}_{a-1}(\overline{\Omega})$ is written as $u = e^+\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}d(x)^{a-1}v$ with $v \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, $d(x)$ taken as y_n on U_δ, then

$$u(x) = \tfrac{1}{\Gamma(a)}d(x)^{a-1}v_0(y') + \tfrac{1}{\Gamma(a)}d(x)^a w(x) \text{ on } U_\delta \cap \overline{\Omega}, \tag{2.24}$$

where $\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}d(x)^a w$ is as a function in $\mathcal{E}_a(\overline{\Omega})$. Here v_0 is determined uniquely from v and hence $\gamma_{a-1,0}u$ is determined uniquely from u, and the null-space of the mapping $u \mapsto \gamma_{a-1,0}u$ is $\mathcal{E}_a(\overline{\Omega})$. See also Section 5 of [G13]; there it is moreover shown that this mapping,

$$\gamma_{a-1,0}\colon \mathcal{E}_{a-1}(\overline{\Omega}) \to C^\infty(\Sigma) \text{ with null-space } \mathcal{E}_a(\overline{\Omega}),$$

extends to a continuous surjective mapping

$$\gamma_{a-1,0}\colon H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) \to B_p^{s-a+1/p'}(\Sigma) \text{ with null-space } H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\Omega}), \text{ for } s > a - 1/p'. \tag{2.25}$$

Now since we have the bijectiveness of r^+P_a in (2.6), we can simply adjoin the mapping (2.25) and conclude the bijectiveness of

$$\begin{pmatrix} r^+P_a \\ \\ \gamma_{a-1,0} \end{pmatrix} : H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{matrix} \overline{H}_p^{s-2a}(\Omega) \\ \times \\ B_p^{s-a+1/p'}(\Sigma) \end{matrix}. \tag{2.26}$$

This gives the unique solvability of the problem (2.2) in these spaces. There is an inverse

$$(R \quad K) = \begin{pmatrix} r^+P_a \\ \gamma_{a-1,0} \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

where R is the inverse of (2.6) as introduced above, and K is a mapping going from Σ to $\overline{\Omega}$. (Further details in [G13] Section 6.)

In $B^s_{\infty,\infty}$-spaces, we likewise have an extension of the mapping $\gamma_{a-1,0}$:

$$\gamma_{a-1,0}\colon B^{(a-1)(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) \to B^{s-a+1}_{\infty,\infty}(\Sigma) \text{ with null-space } B^{a(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega}), \text{ for } s > a-1. \tag{2.27}$$

Then the result is as follows (as a special case of Theorem 3.2 below), with conclusions in Hölder spaces:

Theorem 2.8. *Let $s > a-1$. The mapping $\{r^+P, \gamma_{a-1,0}\}$ defines a bijection*

$$\{r^+P, \gamma_{a-1,0}\}\colon B^{(a-1)(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) \to \overline{B}^{s-2a}_{\infty,\infty}(\Omega) \times B^{s-a+1}_{\infty,\infty}(\Sigma). \tag{2.28}$$

In particular, for any $f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, $\varphi \in C^{a+1}(\Sigma)$ there exists a unique solution u of (2.2) in $B^{(a-1)(2a)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$; it satisfies

$$u \in \begin{cases} e^+d(x)^{a-1}C^{a+1}(\overline{\Omega}) + \dot{C}^{2a}(\overline{\Omega}), \text{ when } a \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ e^+d(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}C^{\frac{3}{2}}(\overline{\Omega}) + \dot{C}^{1-0}(\overline{\Omega}), \text{ when } a = \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{2.29}$$

For $f \in C^t(\overline{\Omega})$, $\varphi \in C^{a+1+t}(\Sigma)$, $t > 0$, the solution satisfies

$$u \in \begin{cases} e^+d(x)^{a-1}C^{a+1+t}(\overline{\Omega}) + \dot{C}^{2a+t}(\overline{\Omega}), \text{ when } a+t \text{ and } 2a+t \notin \mathbb{N}, \\ e^+d(x)^{a-1}C^{a+1+t-0}(\overline{\Omega}) + \dot{C}^{2a+t-0}(\overline{\Omega}), \text{ when } a+t \in \mathbb{N}, \\ e^+d(x)^{a-1}C^{a+1+t}(\overline{\Omega}) + \dot{C}^{2a+t-0}(\overline{\Omega}), \text{ when } 2a+t \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{2.30}$$

Proof. The bijectiveness hold in view of the bijectivenes in Theorem 2.2, and (2.27). The implications (2.29) and (2.30) follow from (2.10) with $\mu = a-1$, together with the embedding properties recalled in Section 3. Note that since $a+1 > 2a$, there is no need to mention an intersection with $C^{2a(+t)}(\Omega)$. □

Observe moreover that as shown in [G13],

$$f \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}),\ \varphi \in C^\infty(\Sigma) \iff u \in \mathcal{E}_{a-1}(\overline{\Omega}). \tag{2.31}$$

Also for the nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem, there exist formulations where the support condition on u is replaced by a prescription of its value on $\complement\Omega$. Abatangelo [A13] considers problems of the type

$$\begin{cases} r^+P_aU &= f \text{ on } \Omega, \\ U &= g \text{ on } \complement\Omega, \\ \gamma_{a-1,0}U &= \varphi \text{ on } \Sigma. \end{cases} \tag{2.32}$$

(The boundary condition in [A13] takes the form of the third line when Ω is a ball, but is described in a more general way for other domains.)

For (2.32), let f, g, φ be given with

$$\{f, g, \varphi\} \in \overline{H}_p^{s-2a}(\Omega) \times \overline{H}_p^s(\complement\overline{\Omega}) \times B_p^{s-a+1/p'}(\Sigma), \text{ with } s > a - 1/p'. \tag{2.33}$$

Then we search for a solution U in a Sobolev space over $\mathbb{R}^n$ that allows taking $\gamma_{a-1,0}U$.

We want to take as G an extension of g to $H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $s > n/p$, such that $H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that $\gamma_{a-1,0}\colon G \mapsto \Gamma(a)\gamma_0(d(x)^{1-a}G)$ is well-defined and gives 0 for $G \in H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (since $a < 1$). If $s < 1/p$, we can take G as the extension by 0 on Ω (since $\overline{H}_p^s(\complement\overline{\Omega})$ identifies with $\dot{H}_p^s(\complement\Omega)$ when $-1/p' < s < 1/p$). If $1/p \le s \le n/p$, we can also use the extension by 0 and note that the boundary value from Ω is zero, but G is only in $H_p^{1/p-0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now $U_1 = U - G$ must satisfy

$$\begin{cases} r^+P_aU_1 &= f - r^+P_aG \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{supp} U_1 &\subset \overline{\Omega}, \\ \gamma_{a-1,0}U_1 &= \varphi. \end{cases} \tag{2.34}$$

We continue the analysis for $s \notin [1/p, n/p]$; if s is given > 0, this can be achieved by taking p sufficiently large.

Since $P_aG \in H^{s-2a}_{p,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f - r^+P_aG \in \overline{H}_p^{s-2a}(\Omega)$. Hereby we have reduced the problem to the form (2.3), where we have the solution operator $(\begin{array}{cc} R & K \end{array})$, see (2.26)ff. This implies that (2.32) has the solution

$$U = R(f - r^+P_aG) + K\varphi + G \in H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) + H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) + H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.35}$$

It is unique, since zero data give a zero solution (as we know from (2.15) in the case $\varphi = 0$). Recall that $H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) \subset H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega})$.

This shows the first part of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. 1° *Let $s > a - 1/p'$ (if $s > 0$ assume moreover that $s \notin [1/p, n/p]$), and let f, g, φ be given as in* (2.33). *Let $G \in H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be an extension of g (by zero if $s < 1/p$).*

The problem (2.32) *has the unique solution* (2.35) *in $H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) + H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

2° *Let $s > a - 1$, $s \neq 0$, and let f, g, φ be given with*

$$\{f, g, \varphi\} \in \overline{B}^{s-2a}_{\infty,\infty}(\Omega) \times \overline{B}^s_{\infty,\infty}(\complement\overline{\Omega}) \times B^{s-a+1}_{\infty,\infty}(\Sigma). \tag{2.36}$$

Let $G \in B^s_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be an extension of g (by zero if $s < 0$).

The problem (2.32) *has the unique solution*

$$U = R(f - r^+P_aG) + K\varphi + G \in B^{(a-1)(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) + B^s_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.37}$$

Proof. 1° was shown above, and 2° is shown in an analogous way:

For $s > 0$, the extension G has a boundary value $\gamma_{a-1,0}G = \Gamma(a)\gamma_0(d^{1-a}G) = 0$ since G is continuous and $1 - a > 0$, and for $s < 0$ the boundary value from Ω is 0, since G is extended by zero (using that there is an identification between $\overline{B}^s_{\infty,\infty}(\complement\overline{\Omega})$ and $\dot{B}^s_{\infty,\infty}(\complement\Omega)$ when $-1 < s < 0$). We then apply Theorem 2.8 to $u = U - G$. □

This reduction allows a study of higher regularity of the solutions. The treatment in [A13] seems primarily directed towards solutions for not very smooth data. The boundary of Ω is only assumed $C^{1,1}$ there.

Remark 2.10. When $s > a + n/p$, we note that since $H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) \subset e^+ d(x)^a C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \subset C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (cf. (2.9) or [G13] Cor. 5.5), the solution (2.35) is the sum of a continuous function and the term $K\varphi \in H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega})$ that stems solely from the boundary value φ. As described in the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n_+$ in the proof of [G13] Th. 6.5 (to which we can reduce by use of local coordinates), $K\varphi = w + z$, where $w \in H^{a(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ and

$$z = \Xi_+^{1-a} e^+ K_0 \varphi = K_{a-1,0}\varphi = c_{a-1} e^+ x_n^{a-1} K_0 \varphi,$$

cf. also Cor. 5.3 and (5.15a) there. Such functions have explicitly the factor x_n^{a-1} in front of a function $K_0\varphi \in \overline{H}_p^{s-a+1}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ with $(K_0\varphi)|_{x_n=0} = \varphi$ (where φ runs through $B_p^{s-a+1/p'}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$). This shows that

$$U = U' + z,\ U' \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n),\ z \in e^+ d(x)^{a-1} \overline{H}_p^{s-a+1}(\Omega) \subset e^+ d(x)^{a-1} C^0(\overline{\Omega}),$$
$$\text{with } z \neq 0 \text{ at } \Sigma \text{ when } \varphi \neq 0.$$

Also for large s, z behaves like the singular factor $d(x)^{a-1}$ times a relatively smooth function $K_0\varphi$, that is nonzero at the boundary when φ is nonzero.

Hence the solutions are "large" at the boundary in this precise sense, consisting of a continuous function plus a term containing the factor $d(x)^{a-1}$ nontrivially. (Cf. also (2.31).)

It is a point of [A13] that there exist "large" solutions of the nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem; we here see that this is *not an exception but a rule* of the setup, provided naturally by the part of the solution mapping going from Σ to $\overline{\Omega}$.

Theorem 2.9 1° gives the following result in Hölder spaces when $f \in L_p(\Omega) = \overline{H}_p^0(\Omega)$.

Corollary 2.11. *Let $p > n/a$. For $f \in L_p(\Omega)$, $g \in C^{2a+0}(\complement\Omega) \cap \overline{H}_p^{2a}(\complement\overline{\Omega})$ and $\varphi \in C^{a+1/p'+0}(\Sigma)$, the solution U of* (2.32) *according to Theorem* 2.8 *satisfies*

$$U \in e^+ d^{a-1} C^{a+1-n/p}(\overline{\Omega}) + \dot{C}^{2a-n/p}(\overline{\Omega}) + C^{2a+0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H_p^{2a}(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{2.38}$$

with $2a - n/p$ replaced by $1 - 0$ if $2a - n/p = 1$.

Proof. Note that $2a > n/p$. We extend g as in Corollary 2.4 to a function $G \in C^{2a+0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H_p^{2a}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and note that $\varphi \in C^{a+1/p'+0}(\Sigma) \subset B_p^{a+1/p'}(\Sigma)$. Theorem 2.9 1° shows that there is a (unique) solution $U = u + K\varphi + G$ with

$$u + K\varphi \in H_p^{(a-1)(2a)}(\overline{\Omega}) \subset e^+ d^{a-1} C^{a+1-n/p}(\overline{\Omega}) + \dot{C}^{2a-n/p}(\overline{\Omega})$$

(one may consult [G13] (7.12)), with the mentioned modification if $2a - n/p$ is integer. □

For $f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$ or $C^t(\overline{\Omega})$, we get the sharpest results by applying the statement for $B^s_{\infty,\infty}$-space:

Corollary 2.12. 1° *For $f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, $g \in C^{2a}_{\rm comp}(\complement\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in C^{a+1}(\Sigma)$, the solution of* (2.32) *satisfies*

$$U \in e^+ d^{a-1} C^{a+1}(\overline{\Omega}) + C^{2a}_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{2.39}$$

with $2a$ replaced by $1-0$ if $a = \frac{1}{2}$.

2° *Let X be any of the function spaces $F^\sigma_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $B^\sigma_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and denote by $X_{\rm ext}$ the subset of elements with support disjoint from $\overline{\Omega}$. For $f \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, $g \in C^{2a}_{\rm comp}(\complement\Omega) + X_{\rm ext}$ and $\varphi \in C^{a+1}(\Sigma)$, there exists a solution of* (2.32) *satisfying*

$$U \in e^+ d^{a-1} C^{a+1}(\overline{\Omega}) + C^{2a}_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^n) + X_{\rm ext}, \tag{2.40}$$

with $2a$ replaced by $1-0$ if $a = \frac{1}{2}$.

3° *For $f \in C^t(\overline{\Omega})$, $g \in C^{2a+t}_{\rm comp}(\complement\Omega) + X_{\rm ext}$ and $\varphi \in C^{a+1+t}(\Sigma)$, the solution according to* 2° *satisfies*

$$U \in e^+ d^{a-1} C^{a+1+t}(\overline{\Omega}) + C^{2a+t}_{\rm comp}(\mathbb{R}^n) + X_{\rm ext},$$

with $a+t$ resp. $2a+t$ replaced by $a+t-0$ resp. $2a+t-0$ when they hit an integer.

Proof. We apply Theorem 2.9 2° very much in the same way as in Corollary 2.6; details can be omitted. □

2.3 A nonhomogeneous Neumann problem.

The Neumann boundary value defined in connection with $(-\Delta)^a$ is

$$\gamma_{a-1,1} u = \Gamma(a+1)\gamma_0(\partial_n(d(x)^{1-a}u)); \tag{2.41}$$

it is proportional to the second coefficient in the Taylor expansion of $d^{1-a}u$ in the normal variable at the boundary (like $\gamma_0 w$ when w is as in (2.24)).

We here have, by use of Theorem 4.3 below:

Theorem 2.13. *The mapping $\{r^+P_a, \gamma_{a-1,1}\}$ defines a Fredholm operator:*

$$\{r^+P_a, \gamma_{a-1,1}\}\colon H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) \to \overline{H}_p^{s-2a}(\overline{\Omega}) \times B_p^{s-a-1/p}(\Sigma), \tag{2.42}$$

for $s > a + 1/p$.

Proof. The continuity of the mapping (2.42) follows from [G13] Th. 5.1 with $\mu = a-1$, $M = 2$. The Fredholm property follows from Theorem 4.3 below, by piecing together a parametrix from the parametrix construction in local coordinates given there. We use that the parametrix exists since P_a in local coordinates has principal symbol $|\xi|^{2a}$. □

There is a similar version in $B^s_{\infty,\infty}$-spaces, with consequences for Hölder estimates:

Theorem 2.14. *Let $s > a$. The mapping $\{r^+P, \gamma_{a-1,1}\}$ defines a Fredholm operator*

$$\{r^+P, \gamma_{a-1,1}\}\colon B_{\infty,\infty}^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) \to \overline{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{s-2a}(\Omega) \times B_{\infty,\infty}^{s-a}(\Sigma). \tag{2.43}$$

In particular, for $\{f,\psi\} \in L_\infty(\Omega)\times C^a(\Sigma)$ *subject to a certain finite set of linear constraints there exists a solution* u *of* (2.3) *in* $B_{\infty,\infty}^{(a-1)(2a)}(\overline{\Omega})$*; it is unique modulo a finite dimensional linear subspace* $\mathcal{N}\subset\mathcal{E}_{a-1}(\overline{\Omega})$ *and satisfies*

$$u\in\begin{cases} e^+d(x)^{a-1}C^{a+1}(\overline{\Omega})+\dot C^{2a}(\overline{\Omega}), & \text{when } a\neq\tfrac12,\\ e^+d(x)^{-\frac12}C^{\frac32}(\overline{\Omega})+\dot C^{1-0}(\overline{\Omega}), & \text{when } a=\tfrac12.\end{cases}\tag{2.44}$$

For $f\in C^t(\overline{\Omega})$, $\psi\in C^{a+t}(\Sigma)$, $t>0$, *the solution satisfies*

$$u\in\begin{cases} e^+d(x)^{a-1}C^{a+1+t}(\overline{\Omega})+\dot C^{2a+t}(\overline{\Omega}), & \text{when } a+t \text{ and } 2a+t\notin\mathbb{N},\\ e^+d(x)^{a-1}C^{a+1+t-0}(\overline{\Omega})+\dot C^{2a+t-0}(\overline{\Omega}), & \text{when } a+t\in\mathbb{N},\\ e^+d(x)^{a-1}C^{a+1+t}(\overline{\Omega})+\dot C^{2a+t-0}(\overline{\Omega}), & \text{when } 2a+t\in\mathbb{N}.\end{cases}\tag{2.45}$$

Proof. The first statement is the analogue of Theorem 2.13, obtained for the present scale of spaces by use of [J96] as in Section 3. In the next, detailed statements we formulate the Fredholm property explicitly, using also Theorem 3.4 on the smoothness of the kernel. Finally, the inclusions (2.44) and (2.45) follow from the description of $B_{\infty,\infty}^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega})$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.8. □

Also in the Neumann case, one can formulate versions of the theorems with u prescribed on $\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega$, and show their equivalence with the set-up for u supported in $\overline{\Omega}$; we think this is sufficiently exemplified by the treatment of the Dirichlet condition above, that we can leave details to the interested reader.

3. Boundary problems in general spaces

One of the conclusions in [G13] of the study of the ψdo P of order $m\in\mathbb{C}$, with factorization index and type $\mu_0\in\mathbb{C}$, was that it could be linked, by the help of the special order-reducing operators $\Lambda_\pm^{(\mu)}$, to an operator

$$Q=\Lambda_-^{(\mu_0-m)}P\Lambda_+^{(-\mu_0)}$$

of order 0 and with factorization index and type 0, which could be treated by the help of the calculus of Boutet de Monvel on H_p^s-spaces, as accounted for in [G90]. Results for P and its boundary value problems could then be deduced from those for Q in the case of a homogeneous boundary condition. With a natural definition of boundary operators $\gamma_{\mu,k}$, also nonhomogeneous boundary conditions could be treated. In particular, we found the structure of parametrices of r^+P, with homogeneous or nonhomogeneous Dirichlet-type conditions, as compositions of operators belonging to the Boutet de Monvel calculus with the special order-reducing operators, see Theorem 4.4, 6.1 and 6.5 of [G13].

The results of [G90] have been extended to the much more general families of spaces $F_{p,q}^s$ (Triebel-Lizorkin spaces) and $B_{p,q}^s$ (Besov spaces) by Johnsen in [J96]. He shows that elliptic systems on a compact manifold with a smooth boundary, belonging to the Boutet de Monvel calculus, have Fredholm solvability also in these more general spaces, with C^∞ kernels and range complements (cokernels) independent of s,p,q. Here $0<p,q\leq\infty$ is allowed for the $B_{p,q}^s$-spaces, and the same goes for the $F_{p,q}^s$-spaces, except that p is taken

$< \infty$ (to avoid long explanations of exceptional cases). The parameter s is taken $> s_0$, for a suitable s_0 depending on the order and class of the involved operators. We refer to [J96] (or to Triebel's books) for detailed descriptions of the spaces.

The operators $\Lambda_\pm^\mu$, $\Xi_\pm^\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and their truncated versions $\Lambda_{\pm,\pm}^\mu$, $\Xi_{\pm,\pm}^\mu$, as well as the manifold versions $\Lambda_\pm^{(\mu)}$ and their truncations, have mapping properties extended by analogy when H_p^s is replaced by $F_{p,q}^s$ or $B_{p,q}^s$.

It follows that also the theory of μ-transmission boundary problems extends to these more general spaces.

We shall here in particular be interested in the case of the scale of spaces $B_{\infty,\infty}^s$, which gives a shortcut to sharp results on solvability in Hölder spaces; so we shall formulate the results in detail in these spaces.

In addition to the mapping and Fredholm properties established for Boutet de Monvel systems in [J96], we need the following generalizations of (1.5) (as in [G13] (1.11)–(1.20)):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_+^\mu \text{ and } \Lambda_+^\mu &: \dot B_{\infty,\infty}^s(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \dot B_{\infty,\infty}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+), \text{ with inverse } \Xi_+^{-\mu} \text{ resp. } \Lambda_+^{-\mu},\\
\Xi_{-,+}^\mu \text{ and } \Lambda_{-,+}^\mu &: \overline{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n_+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n_+), \text{ with inverse } \Xi_{-,+}^{-\mu} \text{ resp. } \Lambda_{-,+}^{-\mu},\\
\Lambda_+^{(\mu)} &: \dot B_{\infty,\infty}^s(\overline{\Omega}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \dot B_{\infty,\infty}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\overline{\Omega}),\\
\Lambda_{-,+}^{(\mu)} &: \overline{B}_{\infty,\infty}^s(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\Omega),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

valid for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The cases with integer μ are covered by [J96] as a direct extension of the presentation in [G90], the cases of more general μ likewise extend.

We can then define (analogously to the definitions and observations in [G13], Sect. 1.2, 1.3):

Definition 3.1. *Let $s > \operatorname{Re}\mu - 1$.*

1° *$B_{\infty,\infty}^{\mu(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ is defined by:*

$$B_{\infty,\infty}^{\mu(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) = \Xi_+^{-\mu} e^+ \overline{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n_+) \tag{3.3}$$

with the inherited norm, here $\Lambda_+^{-\mu}$ can equivalently be used.

2° *$B_{\infty,\infty}^{\mu(s)}(\overline{\Omega})$ is defined by:*

$$B_{\infty,\infty}^{\mu(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) = \Lambda_+^{(-\mu)} e^+ \overline{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\Omega) \tag{3.4}$$

with the inherited norm.

Also here we have (as an extension of [G13] Prop. 1.7) that when $u \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{\mu(s)}(\overline{\Omega})$, then $w = \Lambda_+^{(\mu)} u \in \dot B_{\infty,\infty}^{-1+0}(\overline{\Omega})$ and satisfies $r^+ w \in \overline{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{s-\mu}(\Omega)$; indeed $u = \Lambda_+^{(-\mu)} e^+ r^+ w$. There are similar statements for the spaces over $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+$.

The distributions in $B_{\infty,\infty}^{\mu(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ are in $B_{\infty,\infty}^s$ over $\mathbb{R}^n_+$, by interior regularity.

We can now formulate some important results from [G13] in this scale of spaces:

Theorem 3.2.

1° *Let the ψdo P on Ω_1 be of order $m \in \mathbb{C}$ and of type $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ relative to the boundary of the snooth compact subset $\overline{\Omega} \subset \Omega_1$. Then when $s > \operatorname{Re}\mu - 1$, r^+P maps $B_{\infty,\infty}^{\mu(s)}(\overline{\Omega})$ continuously into $\overline{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{s-\operatorname{Re} m}(\Omega)$.*

2° *Assume in addition that P is elliptic and of type μ_0 ($\equiv \mu \bmod 1$), and let $s > \operatorname{Re}\mu_0 - 1$. If $u \in \dot{B}^{\sigma}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\sigma > \operatorname{Re}\mu_0 - 1$ and $r^+Pu \in \overline{B}^{s-\operatorname{Re} m}_{\infty,\infty}(\Omega)$, then $u \in B^{\mu_0(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$. The mapping r^+P defines a Fredholm operator*

$$r^+P\colon B^{\mu_0(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) \to \overline{B}^{s-\operatorname{Re} m}_{\infty,\infty}(\Omega). \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, $\{r^+P, \gamma_{\mu_0-1,0}\}$ defines a Fredholm operator

$$\{r^+P, \gamma_{\mu_0-1,0}\}\colon B^{(\mu_0-1)(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) \to \overline{B}^{s-\operatorname{Re} m}_{\infty,\infty}(\Omega) \times B^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu_0+1}_{\infty,\infty}(\partial\Omega). \tag{3.6}$$

3° *Let P be as in 2°, and let $\mu = \mu_0 - M$ for a positive integer M. Then when $s > \operatorname{Re}\mu_0 - 1$, $\{r^+P, \varrho_{\mu,M}\}$ defines a Fredholm operator*

$$\{r^+P, \varrho_{\mu,M}\}\colon B^{\mu(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) \to \overline{B}^{s-\operatorname{Re} m}_{\infty,\infty}(\Omega) \times \prod_{0\le j<M} B^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu-j}_{\infty,\infty}(\partial\Omega). \tag{3.7}$$

For $\operatorname{Re}\mu > -1$, the spaces $B^{\mu(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ and $B^{\mu(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ are further described by the following generalization of [G13], Th. 5.4:

Theorem 3.3. *One has for $\operatorname{Re}\mu > -1$, $s > \operatorname{Re}\mu - 1$, with $M \in \mathbb{N}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} B^{\mu(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) &\begin{cases} = \dot{B}^{s}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) \text{ if } s - \operatorname{Re}\mu \in]-1,0[\,, \\ \subset \dot{B}^{s-0}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) \text{ if } s = \operatorname{Re}\mu. \end{cases} \\ B^{\mu(s)}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) &\subset e^+x_n^{\mu}\overline{B}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+) + \begin{cases} \dot{B}^{s}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) \text{ if } s - \operatorname{Re}\mu \in M+]-1,0[\\ \dot{B}^{s-0}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) \text{ if } s - \operatorname{Re}\mu = M. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The inclusions (3.8) *also hold in the manifold situation, with $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ replaced by Ω and x_n replaced by $d(x)$.*

Proof. The first statement in (3.8) follows since $e^+\overline{B}^{t}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+) = \dot{B}^{t}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ for $t \in]-1,0[$, cf. [J96] (2.51)–(2.52).

For the second statement we use the representation of u as in [G13] (5.12)–(5.13), in the same way as in the proof of Th. 5.4 there. The crucial fact is that the Poisson operator K_0 maps $\gamma_{\mu,0}u \in B^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ into $\overline{B}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ (by [J96]), defining a term

$$v_0 = e^+K_{\mu,0}\gamma_{\mu,0}u = c_\mu e^+x_n^{\mu}K_0\gamma_{\mu,0}u \in e^+x_n^{\mu}\overline{B}^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+),$$

with similar descriptions of terms $e^+K_{\mu,j}\gamma_{\mu,j}u$ for j up to $M-1$, such that u by subtraction of these terms gives a term in $\dot{B}^{s}_{\infty,\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ (with s replaced by $s-0$ if $s - \operatorname{Re}\mu$ hits an integer). □

Moreover, it is important to observe the following invariance property of kernels and cokernels (typical in elliptic theory):

Theorem 3.4. *For the Fredholm operators considered in Theorem* 3.2*, the kernel is a finite dimensional subspace $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{E}_\mu(\overline{\Omega})$ independent of s. $\mathcal{N}$ is also the kernel of the operators considered in the $F^s_{p,q}$-scales and $B^s_{p,q}$-scales, for all $p,q\le\infty$ ($p<\infty$ in the $F^s_{p,q}$- case) and the relevant s.*

There is a finite dimensional range complement $\mathcal{M}\subset C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ for (3.5)*, resp. $\mathcal{M}_1\subset C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})\times C^\infty(\partial\Omega)^M$ for* (3.6)–(3.7)*, that is the same for all s, such that they are also range complements when the operators are considered in the $F^s_{p,q}$-scales and $B^s_{p,q}$-scales.*

Proof. This follows from the similar statement for operators in the Boutet de Monvel calculus in [J96] Sect. 5.1, when we apply the mappings $\Lambda_\pm^{(\mu)}$ etc. in the reduction of the homogeneous Dirichlet problem to a problem in the Boutet de Monvel calculus. □

4. General boundary conditions

In [G13], Cor. 6.2, we showed the Fredholm solvability of Dirichlet-type problems defined by operators

$$\{r^+P,\gamma_{\mu-1,0}\}\colon H_p^{(\mu-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega})\to \overline{H}_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}m}(\Omega)\times B_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu+1/p'}(\partial\Omega) \tag{4.1}$$

for $s>\operatorname{Re}\mu-1/p'$, where P is elliptic of order m, is of type μ, and has factorization index μ (called μ_0 there). In Th. 6.5 there we constructed a parametrix in local coordinates

$$(\,R_D\quad K_D\,)\colon \overline{H}_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}m}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)\times B_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu+1/p'}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})\to H_p^{(\mu-1)(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+), \tag{4.2}$$

where $R_D=\Lambda_+^{-\mu}e^+\widetilde{Q}_+\Lambda_{-,+}^{\mu-m}$ and $K_D=\Xi_+^{1-\mu}e^+K'$ or $\Lambda_+^{1-\mu}e^+K''$, $\widetilde{Q}$ being a ψdo of order and type 0 and K' and K'' being Poisson operators in the Boutet de Monvel calculus of order 0.

The results in this section extend to the scales of spaces $F^s_{p,q}$ and $B^s_{p,q}$ by use of Johnsen [J96] as above, but we formulate them in H^s_p-spaces where we can refer directly to [G13].

4.1 Boundary operators of type $\gamma_0 B$.

We shall now decsribe a general way to let other boundary operators enter in lieu of $\gamma_{\mu-1,0}$. The point is to reduce the problem to a problem in the Boutet de Monvel calculus (with ψdo's of type 0 and integer order). We can assume that the family of auxiliary operators $\Lambda_\pm^{(\varrho)}$ is chosen such that $(\Lambda_\pm^{(\varrho)})^{-1}=\Lambda_\pm^{(-\varrho)}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let P be elliptic of order $m\in\mathbb{C}$ on Ω_1, having type μ and factorization index μ with respect to the smooth compact subset $\overline{\Omega}$. Let B be a ψdo of order $m_0+\mu$ and of type μ, with m_0 integer. Consider the mapping*

$$\{r^+P,\gamma_0r^+B\}\colon H_p^{(\mu-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega})\to \overline{H}_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}m}(\Omega)\times B_p^{s-m_0-\operatorname{Re}\mu+1/p'}(\partial\Omega), \tag{4.3}$$

for $s>\operatorname{Re}\mu+\max\{m_0,0\}-1/p'$.

1° For $u\in H_p^{(\mu-1)(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$, the problem

$$r^+Pu=f\ \text{ on }\Omega,\quad \gamma_0r^+Bu=\psi\ \text{ on }\partial\Omega, \tag{4.4}$$

can be reduced to an equivalent problem

$$P'_+ w = g \text{ on } \Omega, \quad \gamma_0 B'_+ w = \psi \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{4.5}$$

where $w = r^+\Lambda_+^{(\mu-1)}u \in \overline{H}_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu+1}(\Omega)$, $g = \Lambda_{-,+}^{(\mu-m)}f \in \overline{H}_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\Omega)$, *and*

$$P' = \Lambda_-^{(\mu-m)}P\Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)}, \quad B' = B\Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)}, \tag{4.6}$$

ψdo's of order 1 *resp.* m_0+1, *and type* 0.

2° *The problem* (4.4) *is Fredholm solvable if and only if the problem* (4.5) *is Fredholm solvable, as a mapping*

$$\{P'_+, \gamma_0 B'_+\}\colon \overline{H}_p^{t+1}(\Omega) \to \overline{H}_p^t(\Omega) \times B_p^{t-m_0+1/p'}(\partial\Omega), \tag{4.7}$$

for $t > \max\{m_0, 0\} - 1/p'$.

3° *The latter belongs to the Boutet de Monvel calculus, so that the Fredholm solvability holds if and only if (in addition to the invertibility of the interior symbol) the boundary symbol operator is bijective at each* $(x', \xi') \in T^*(\partial\Omega) \setminus 0$. *This can also be formulated as a unique solvability of the model problem for* (4.4) *at each* $x' \in \partial\Omega$, $\xi' \neq 0$.

4° *Here* $(\, R'_B \quad K'_B \,)$ *is a parametrix for* (4.5) *if and only if*

$$(\, R_B \quad K_B \,) = \big(\, \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)} e^+ R'_B \Lambda_{-,+}^{(\mu-m)} \quad \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)} e^+ K'_B \,\big) \tag{4.8}$$

is a parametrix for (4.4).

Proof. The mapping (4.3) is well-defined, since $r^+B\colon H_p^{(\mu-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) \to \overline{H}_p^{s-m_0-\operatorname{Re}\mu_0}(\Omega)$ by [G13] Th. 4.2, and $\gamma_0\colon \overline{H}_p^{s-m_0-\operatorname{Re}\mu_0}(\Omega) \to B_p^{s-m_0-\operatorname{Re}\mu+1/p'}(\partial\Omega)$.

1°. Let us go through the transition between (4.4) and (4.5), as already laid out in the formulation of the theorem.

It is known from [G13] Prop. 1.7ff. that $u \in H_p^{(\mu-1)(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ if and only if $w = r^+\Lambda_+^{(\mu-1)}u \in \overline{H}_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu+1}(\Omega)$; here $u = \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)}e^+w$. Moreover, since $\Lambda_{-,+}^{(\varrho)}\colon \overline{H}_p^t(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{H}_p^{t-\operatorname{Re}\varrho}(\Omega)$ for all ϱ and t, $f \in \overline{H}_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}m}(\Omega)$ if and only if $g = \Lambda_{-,+}^{(\mu-m)}f \in \overline{H}_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu}(\Omega)$. Hence the first equation in (4.4) carries over to

$$\Lambda_{-,+}^{(\mu-m)} r^+ P \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)} e^+ w = g.$$

Here the operator in front of w can be simplified to P'_+, as accounted for in the proof of Th. 4.4 in [G13] in a similar situation. The boundary condition in (4.4) carries over to that in (4.5) since $B'_+ w = r^+ B \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)} e^+ w = r^+ B u$.

The order and type of the operators is clear from the definitions.

2°. Since the transition takes place by use of bijections, the Fredholm property carries over between the two situations.

3°. The model problem is the problem defined from the principal symbols of the involved operators at a boundary point x', in a local coordinate system where Ω is replaced by $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and the operator is applied only in the x_n-direction for fixed $\xi' \neq 0$. The hereby defined operator on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is in the Boutet de Monvel calclulus called the boundary symbol operator. The first statement in 3° is just a reference to facts from the Boutet de Monvel calculus.

The second statement follows immediately when the transition is applied on the principal symbol level.

4°. Finally, when $w = R'_B g + K'_B \psi$, then

$$u = \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)} e^+ w = \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)} e^+ (R'_B g + K'_B \psi) = \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)} e^+ R'_B \Lambda_{-,+}^{(\mu-m)} f + \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)} e^+ K'_B \psi,$$

showing the last statement. □

The search for a parametrix here requires the analysis of model problems in Sobolev-type spaces over $\mathbb{R}_+$. It can be an advantage to reduce this question to the boundary, where it suffices to investigate the ellipticity of a ψdo (i.e., invertibility of its principal symbol), as in classical treatments of differential and pseudodifferential problems.

Theorem 4.2. *Consider the problem* (4.3)–(4.4) *presented in Theorem* 4.1*, and its transformed version* (4.5)*.*

1° *The nonhomogeneous Dirichlet system for P', $\{P'_+, \gamma_0\}$, is elliptic and has a parametrix*

$$(\ R'_D \quad K'_D\ ) : \overline{H}_p^{s-1}(\Omega) \times B_p^{s-1/p}(\partial\Omega) \to \overline{H}_p^s(\Omega), \text{ for } s > 1/p. \tag{4.9}$$

2° *Define*

$$S'_B = \gamma_0 B'_+ K'_D; \tag{4.10}$$

a ψdo on $\partial\Omega$ of order m_0. Then (4.3) *defines a Fredholm operator if and only if S'_B is elliptic. When it is so, and $\widetilde{S}'_B$ denotes a parametrix, then $\{r^+P, \gamma_0 r^+ B\}$ has the parametrix $(\ R_B \quad K_B\ )$, where*

$$R_B = \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)} (I - K'_D \widetilde{S}'_B \gamma_0 B'_+) R'_D \Lambda_{-,+}^{(\mu-m)}, \quad K_B = \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)} K'_D \widetilde{S}'_B. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. We first discuss the solvability of the type 0 problem (4.5). Set $Q_1 = \Lambda_-^{(\mu-m)} P \Lambda_+^{(1-\mu)} \Lambda_+^{(-1)}$; it is very similar to the operator $Q = \Lambda_-^{(\mu-m)} P \Lambda_+^{(-\mu)}$ used in [G13], Theorems 4.2 and 4.4, being of order 0, type 0 and having factorization index 0. Then we can write

$$P' = Q_1 \Lambda_+^{(1)}, \quad P'_+ = r^+ Q_1 \Lambda_+^{(1)} e^+ = r^+ Q_1 e^+ r^+ \Lambda_+^{(1)} e^+ = Q_{1,+} \Lambda_{+,+}^{(1)}, \tag{4.12}$$

where we used that $r^- \Lambda_+^{(1)} e^+$ is 0 on $\overline{H}_p^s(\Omega)$ for $s > 1/p$.

The operator $\Lambda_+^{(1)}$ defines an elliptic system

$$\{\Lambda_{+,+}^{(1)}, \gamma_0\} : \overline{H}_p^s(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{H}_p^{s-1}(\Omega) \times B_p^{s-1/p}(\partial\Omega), \text{ for } s > 1/p, \tag{4.13}$$

as shown in [G90], Th. 5.1. Composition with the operator $Q_{1,+}$ preserves this ellipticity, so $\{P'_+, \gamma_0\}$ forms an elliptic system with regards to the mapping property

$$\{P'_+, \gamma_0\} : \overline{H}_p^s(\Omega) \to \overline{H}_p^{s-1}(\Omega) \times B_p^{s-1/p}(\partial\Omega), \text{ for } s > 1/p. \tag{4.14}$$

Hence there is a parametrix

$$(R'_D \quad K'_D)$$

of this Dirichlet problem, continuous in the opposite direction of (4.14).

Next, we can discuss the general problem (4.5) by the help of this special problem; this discussion is standard within the Boutet de Monvel calculus. Define S'_B by (4.10), it is a ψdo on $\partial\Omega$ of order m_0 by the rules of calculus. If it is elliptic, it has a parametrix that we denote $\widetilde{S}'_B$.

On the principal symbol level, the discussion take place for exact operators; here we denote principal symbols of the involved operators P', B', K'_D, etc. by p', b', k'_D, etc. To solve the model problem (at a point (x', ξ') with $\xi' \neq 0$)

$$p'_+(x', \xi', D_n)w(x_n) = g(x_n) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \gamma_0 b'_+(x', \xi', D_n)w(x_n) = \psi \text{ at } x_n = 0, \tag{4.15}$$

let

$$v = r'_D g; \quad z = w - v,$$

then z should satisfy

$$p'_+ z = 0, \quad \gamma_0 b'_+ z = \psi - \gamma_0 b'_+ v \equiv \zeta. \tag{4.16}$$

Assuming that z satisfies the first equation, set

$$\gamma_0 z = \varphi; \text{ then } z = k'_D \varphi,$$

as a solution of a Dirichlet problem for p'_+. To adapt z to the second part of (4.16), we require that $\gamma_0 b'_+ z = \zeta$; here

$$\gamma_0 b'_+ z = \gamma_0 b'_+ k'_D \varphi = s'_B \varphi;$$

cf. (4.10). The equation

$$s'_B \varphi = \zeta \tag{4.17}$$

is uniquely solvable precisely when s'_B is invertible; it is just a complex number depending on (x', ξ'). When it is nonzero, (4.17) is solved uniquely by $\varphi = (s'_B)^{-1}\zeta$.

With this choice, $z = k'_D \varphi$ is the unique solution of (4.16), and $w = r'_D g + z$ is the unique solution of (4.15). The formula is in details

$$w = r'_D g + k'_D (s'_B)^{-1}\zeta = (I - k'_D (s'_B)^{-1}\gamma_0 b'_+) r'_D g + k'_D (s'_B)^{-1}\psi. \tag{4.18}$$

Expressed for the full operators, this shows that the problem (4.5) is elliptic precisely when the ψdo S'_B is so.

For the full operators, a similar construction can be carried out in a parametrix sense, but it is perhaps simpler to test directly by compositions that the following operator analogous to (4.18):

$$(R'_B \quad K'_B) = \big((I - K'_D \widetilde{S}'_B \gamma_0 B'_+) R'_D \quad K'_D \widetilde{S}'_B \big) \tag{4.19}$$

is a parametrix for $\{P'_+, \gamma_0 B'_+\}$: Since $R'_D P'_+ + K'_D\gamma_0 = I + \mathcal{R}$ and $\widetilde{S}'_B\gamma_0 B'_+ K_D = \widetilde{S}'_B S'_B = I + \mathcal{S}$, with operators $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ of order $-\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\,R'_B \quad K'_B\,)\begin{pmatrix} P'_+ \\ \gamma_0 B'_+\end{pmatrix} &= (I - K'_D\widetilde{S}'_B\gamma_0 B'_+)R'_D P'_+ + K'_D\widetilde{S}'_B\gamma_0 B'_+ \\
&= (I - K'_D\widetilde{S}'_B\gamma_0 B'_+)(1 + \mathcal{R} - K'_D\gamma_0) + K'_D\widetilde{S}'_B\gamma_0 B'_+ \\
&= I - K'_D\widetilde{S}'_B\gamma_0 B'_+ - K'_D\gamma_0 + K'_D\widetilde{S}'_B\gamma_0 B'_+ K'_D\gamma_0 + K'_D\widetilde{S}'_B\gamma_0 B'_+ + \mathcal{R}_1 \\
&= I + \mathcal{R}_2,
\end{aligned}$$

with operators $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ of order $-\infty$. The composition in the opposite order is similarly checked.

All this takes place in the Boutet de Monvel calculus. For our original problem we now find the parametrix as in (4.11), by the transition described in Theorem 4.1. □

Similar results hold in $F^s_{p,q}$ and $B^s_{p,q}$-spaces.

The order assumption on B was made for the sake of arriving at operators for which the Boutet de Monvel calculus applies. We think that m_0 could be allowed to be noninteger, with some more effort, drawing on results from Grubb and Hörmander [GH90].

The treatment can be extended to problems with vector-valued boundary conditions $\gamma_0 r^+ B$, when we also involve higher normal derivatives.

4.2 The Neumann boundary operator $\gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}$.

For easy reference to [G13] we denote the μ used above by μ_0 here.

The boundary conditions with B of noninteger order $m_0 + \mu_0$ are generally nonlocal, since B is so. But there do exist local boundary conditions too. For example, the Dirichlet-type operator $\gamma_{\mu_0-1,0}$ is local, cf. (2.23). So are the systems $\varrho_{\mu_0-M,M} = \{\gamma_{\mu_0-M,0}, \dots, \gamma_{\mu_0-M,M-1}\}$ studied in [G13], where we showed in Th. 6.1 that $\{r^+P, \varrho_{\mu_0-M,M}\}$ defines a Fredholm operator

$$\{r^+P, \varrho_{\mu_0-M,M}\}\colon H_p^{(\mu_0-M)(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) \to \overline{H}_p^{s-\operatorname{Re} m}(\Omega) \times \prod_{0\le j<M} B_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu_0+M-j-1/p}(\partial\Omega), \tag{4.20}$$

when $s > \operatorname{Re}\mu_0 - 1/p'$. This takes place in a larger space $H_p^{(\mu_0-M)(s)}(\overline{\Omega})$ than $H_p^{(\mu_0-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega})$ when $M > 1$.

What we shall show now is that one can impose a higher-order *local* boundary condition defined on $H^{(\mu_0-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega})$ itself, leading to a meaningful boundary value problem with Fredholm solvability under a reasonable ellipticity condition.

Here we treat the Neumann-type condition $\gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}u = \psi$, recalling from [G13] (5.3)ff. that

$$\gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}u = \Gamma(\mu_0+1)\gamma_0(\partial_n(d(x)^{1-\mu_0}u)). \tag{4.21}$$

By application of Th. 5.1 there with $M = 2$, $\mu = \mu_0 - 1$,

$$\gamma_{\mu_0-1,1} = \gamma_{\mu,M-1}\colon H_p^{(\mu_0-1)(s)}(\overline{\Omega}) \to B_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu_0-1/p}(\partial\Omega) \tag{4.22}$$

is well-defined for $s > \operatorname{Re}\mu + M - 1/p' = \operatorname{Re}\mu_0 + 1/p$.

The discussion of ellipticity takes place in local coordinates, so let us now assume that we are in a localized situation where P is given on $\mathbb{R}^n$, globally estimated, elliptic of order m and of type μ_0 and with factorization index μ_0 relative to the subset $\mathbb{R}^n_+$, as in [G13], Th. 6.5.

For $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ we can express $\gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}$ in terms of auxiliary operators by

$$\gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}u = \gamma_0\partial_n\Xi_+^{\mu_0-1}u - (\mu_0-1)[D']\gamma_0\Xi_+^{\mu_0-1}u, \tag{4.23}$$

cf. [G13], Example 5.3a. (In the manifold situation there is a certain freedom in choosing $d(x)$ and ∂_n, so we are tacitly assuming that a choice has been made that carries over to $d(x) = x_n$, $\partial_n = \partial/\partial x_n$ in the localization.)

There is a problem with applying the results of Section 4.1 to this, namely that $\Xi_+^{\mu_0-1}$ is not truly a ψdo! This is a difficult fact that has been observed throughout the development of the theory. However, in connection with boundary conditions, operators like Ξ_+^{μ} function to some extent like the truly pseudodifferential operators Λ_+^{μ}. It is for this reason that we gave two versions of the operator K_D in (4.2), stemming from [G13] Th. 6.5 where Lemma 6.6 there was used.

Theorem 4.3. *Let P is given on $\mathbb{R}^n$, globally estimated, elliptic of order m and of type μ_0 and with factorization index μ_0 relative to the subset $\mathbb{R}^n_+$, and let $\begin{pmatrix} R_D & K_D \end{pmatrix}$ be a parametrix of the nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem, as recalled in* (4.2)ff.*, with $K_D = \Xi_+^{1-\mu_0}e^+K'$ for a certain Poisson operator K'.*

Consider the Neumann-type problem

$$r^+Pu = f, \quad \gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}u = \psi \tag{4.24}$$

where

$$\{r^+P, \gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}\}\colon H_p^{(\mu_0-1)(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) \to \overline{H}_p^{s-\operatorname{Re} m}(\mathbb{R}^n_+) \times B_p^{s-\operatorname{Re}\mu_0-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), \tag{4.25}$$

for $s > \mu_0 + 1/p$.

1° *The operator*

$$S_N = \gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}K_D \tag{4.26}$$

equals $(\gamma_0\partial_n - (\mu_0-1)[D']\gamma_0)K'$ and is a ψdo on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ of order 1.

2° *If S_N is elliptic, then, with a parametrix of S_N denoted $\widetilde{S}_N$, there is the following parametrix for $\{r^+P, \gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}\}$:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_N & K_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (I - K'\widetilde{S}_N\gamma_{\mu_0-1,1})R_D & K'\widetilde{S}_N \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.27}$$

3° *Ellipticity holds in particular when the principal part of P equals the principal part of $(1-\Delta)^{\mu_0}$, $\operatorname{Re}\mu_0 > 0$.*

Proof. 1°. By the formulas for $\gamma_{\mu_0,1}$ and K_D,

$$S_N = \gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}K_D = (\gamma_0\partial_n - (\mu_0-1)[D']\gamma_0)\Xi_+^{\mu_0-1}\Xi_+^{1-\mu_0}K' = (\gamma_0\partial_n - (\mu_0-1)[D']\gamma_0)K',$$

and it follows from the rules of calculus in the Boutet de Monvel calculus that this is a ψdo om $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ of order 1.

2°. In the elliptic case, one checks that (4.27) is a parametrix by calculations as in Theorem 4.2.

3°. In this case, the model problem for $\{r^+P, \gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}\}$ is the same as that for $\{r^+(1-\Delta)^{\mu_0}, \gamma_{\mu_0-1,1}\}$. For the latter, we have shown unique solvability in Theorem A.2 and Remark A.3 in the appendix. □

A similar theorem holds in $F^s_{p,q}$ and $B^s_{p,q}$-spaces.

Remark 4.4. The operator S_N is in fact the *Dirichlet-to-Neumann* operator for P, sending the Dirichlet data over into the Neumann data for solutions of $r^+Pu = 0$ in an approximate sense (modulo operators of order $-\infty$). From the calculations in the appendix we see that its principal symbol equals $-\mu_0|\xi'|$, when P is principally equal to $(-\Delta)^{\mu_0}$, $\operatorname{Re}\mu_0 > 0$.

4.3 Further perspectives.

Let us add some words on matrix-formed operators $P = (P_{jk})_{j,k=1,\dots,N}$. If each entry P_{jk} is of order $m \in \mathbb{C}$ and type $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and has the factorization index μ_0, then we can (as in the above theorems) reduce the consideration of P to that of the modified operators $\Lambda_-^{(\mu_0-m)}P\Lambda_+^{(-\mu_0)}$ and $\Lambda_-^{(\mu_0-m)}P\Lambda_+^{(1-\mu_0)}$, that are of type 0 and order 0 resp. 1 and hence belong to the Boutet de Monvel calculus. Then most of the discussion takes place within that calculus. Here it is not necesary to make further factorizations of the matrix (which was a difficulty in the original calculus of Vishik and Eskin); the Boutet de Monvel calculus is based more on projections, that work equally well for scalar and matrix-formed operators. Then the application goes much in the same way as in the scalar case above.

The Boutet de Monvel theory is not an easy theory (as the elaborate presentations [B71, RS82, G84, G90, G96, S01, G09] in the literature shows), but one could have feared that a theory for the more general μ-transmission operators and their boundary problems would be a step up in difficulties. Fortunately, as we have seen, many of the issues can be dealt with by reductions using the special operators $\Lambda_\pm^{(\mu)}$, to cases where the type 0 theory applies.

Concerning problems with less smooth symbols, let us mention that there do exist pseudodifferential theories for such problems, also with boundary conditions, cf. Abels [A05] and [G14] and their references. One finds that a lack of smoothness in the x-variable narrows down the interval of parameters s (as in H_p^s) where one has good solvability properties, and compositions are delicate. — It is also possible to work under limitations on the number of standard estimates in ξ.

Appendix. Calculations in an explicit example

Pseudodifferential methods are a refinement of the application of the Fourier transform, making it useful even for variable coefficient partial differential operators, and allowing generalizations to e.g. operators of noninteger order. But to explain some basic mechanisms it may be useful to consider a simple "constant-coefficient" case, where explicit elementary calculations can be made, not requiring intricate composition rules. This is the case for $(1-\Delta)^a$ $(a > 0)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$, where everything can be worked out by hand in exact detail (in the spirit of the elementary Ch. 9 of [G09]).

The symbol of $(1-\Delta)^a$ is factorized as

$$(\langle\xi'\rangle^2 + \xi_n^2)^a = (\langle\xi'\rangle - i\xi_n)^a(\langle\xi'\rangle + i\xi_n)^a. \tag{A.1}$$

Now we shall use the definitions of simple order-reducing operators $\Xi_\pm^t$ and Poisson operators K_j from [G13] with $\langle\xi'\rangle$ instead of $[\xi']$, because they fit particularly well with the factors in (A.1). We shall often abbreviate $\langle\xi'\rangle$ to σ.

The *homogeneous Dirichlet problem*

$$r^+(1-\Delta)^a u = f, \quad f \text{ given in } \overline{H}_p^{s-2a}(\mathbb{R}^n_+), \tag{A.2}$$

$s > a - 1/p'$, has a unique solution u in $\dot H_p^{a-1/p'+0}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ determined as follows:

With $\Xi_\pm^t = \mathrm{OP}\big((\langle\xi'\rangle + i\xi_n)^t\big)$, we have that $(1-\Delta)^a = \Xi_-^a \Xi_+^a$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $v = r^+\Xi_+^a u$; it is in $\overline{H}_p^{-1/p'+0}(\mathbb{R}^n_+) = \dot H_p^{-1/p'+0}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$, and $u = \Xi_+^{-a} e^+ v$. Then (A.2) is turned into

$$r^+\Xi_-^a e^+ v = f. \tag{A.3}$$

Here $r^+\Xi_-^a e^+ = \Xi_{-,+}^a$ is known to map $\overline{H}_p^t(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ homeomorphically onto $\overline{H}_p^{t-a}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with inverse $\Xi_{-,+}^{-a}$. (Cf. e.g. [G13] Sect. 1). In particular, with f given in $\overline{H}_p^{s-2a}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, (A.3) has the unique solution $v = \Xi_{-,+}^{-a} f \in \overline{H}_p^{s-a}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$. Then (A.2) has the unique solution

$$u = \Xi_+^{-a} e^+ \Xi_{-,+}^{-a} f \equiv R_D f, \tag{A.4}$$

and it belongs to $H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ by the definition of that space. Thus the solution operator for (A.2) is $R_D = \Xi_+^{-a} e^+ \Xi_{-,+}^{-a}$. (This is a simple variant of the proof of [G13] Th. 4.4.)

Next, we go to the larger space $H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$, still assuming $s > a - 1/p'$, where we study the *nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem*. By [G13] Th. 5.1 with $\mu = a-1$ and $M = 1$, we have a mapping $\gamma_{a-1,0}$, acting as

$$\gamma_{a-1,0}\colon u \mapsto \Gamma(a)\gamma_0(x_n^{1-a}u),$$

also equal to $\gamma_0\Xi_+^{a-1}u$, and sending $H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ onto $B_p^{s-a+1-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ with kernel $H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$. Together with $(1-\Delta)^a$ it therefore defines a homeomorphism for $s > a-1/p'$:

$$\{r^+(1-\Delta)^a, \gamma_{a-1,0}\}\colon H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) \to \overline{H}_p^{s-2a}(\mathbb{R}^n_+) \times B_p^{s-a+1-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}). \tag{A.5}$$

It represents the problem

$$r^+(1-\Delta)^a u = f, \quad \gamma_{a-1,0}u = \varphi, \tag{A.6}$$

that we regard as the nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem for $(1-\Delta)^a$. The solution operator in the case $\varphi = 0$ is clearly R_D defined above, since the kernel of $\gamma_{a-1,0}$ is $H_p^{a(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$.

Also the solution operator for the problem with $f = 0$ can be found explicitly:

On the boundary symbol level we consider the problem (recall $\sigma = \langle\xi'\rangle$)

$$(\sigma - \partial_n)^a(\sigma + \partial_n)^a u(x_n) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{A.7}$$

Since $\mathrm{OP}_n((\sigma - i\xi_n)^\mu)$ preserves support in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_-$ for all μ, u must equivalently satisfy

$$(\sigma + \partial_n)^a u(x_n) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{A.8}$$

This has the distribution solution

$$u(x_n) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi_n \to x_n}(\sigma + i\xi_n)^{-a} = \Gamma(a)^{-1} x_n^{a-1} e^+ r^+ e^{-\sigma x_n} \tag{A.9}$$

(cf. e.g. [H83] Ex. 7.1.17 or [G13] (2.5)), and the derivatives $\partial_n^k u$ are likewise solutions, since

$$(\sigma+i\xi_n)^a(i\xi_n)^k(\sigma+i\xi_n)^{-a}=(i\xi_n)^k=\mathcal{F}_{x_n\to\xi_n}\delta_0^{(k)},$$

where $\delta_0^{(k)}$ is supported in $\{0\}$. The undifferentiated function matches our problem. Set

$$\tilde{k}_{a-1,0}(x_n,\xi')=\Gamma(a)^{-1}x_n^{a-1}e^+r^+e^{-\sigma x_n}=\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi_n\to x_n}(\sigma+i\xi_n)^{-a}, \tag{A.10}$$

then since $\gamma_{a-1,0}\tilde{k}_{a-1,0}=1$, the mapping $\mathbb{C}\ni\varphi\mapsto\varphi\cdot r^+\tilde{k}_{a-1,0}$ solves the problem

$$(\sigma+\partial_n)^a u(x_n)=0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+,\quad \gamma_{a-1,0}u=\varphi. \tag{A.11}$$

Using the Fourier transform in ξ' also, we find that (A.6) with $f=0$ has the solution

$$u(x)=K_{a-1,0}\varphi\equiv\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi'\to x'}\big(\tilde{k}_{a-1,0}(x_n,\xi')\hat{\varphi}(\xi')\big). \tag{A.12}$$

It can be denoted $\mathrm{OPK}(\tilde{k}_{a-1,0})\varphi$, by a generalization of the notation from the Boutet de Monvel calculus. We moreover define $k_{a-1,0}(\xi)=\mathcal{F}_{x_n\to\xi_n}\tilde{k}_{a-1,0}(x_n,\xi')=(\sigma+i\xi_n)^{-a}$; $\tilde{k}_{a-1,0}$ and $k_{a-1,0}$ are the symbol-kernel and symbol of $K_{a-1,0}$, respectively.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&k_{a-1,0}(\xi',\xi_n)=(\langle\xi'\rangle+i\xi_n)^{-a}=(\langle\xi'\rangle+i\xi_n)^{1-a}(\langle\xi'\rangle+i\xi_n)^{-1},\text{ hence}\\ &K_{a-1,0}=\Xi_+^{1-a}K_0,\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

where $K_0=\mathrm{OPK}((\langle\xi'\rangle+i\xi_n)^{-1})$ is *the Poisson operator for the Dirichlet problem for* $1-\Delta$,

$$K_0\varphi=\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\xi\to x}((\langle\xi'\rangle+i\xi_n)^{-1}\hat{\varphi}(\xi')),$$

(cf. e.g. [G09], Ch. 9). It is well-known that $K_0\colon B_p^{t-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})\to e^+H_p^t(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$; this implies:

$$K_{a-1,0}\colon B_p^{s-a+1-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})\to H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+),\text{ for all } s\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{A.14}$$

(There is a slight abuse of notation in the formulation, when K_0 is used to denote an operator from $B_p^{t-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ to $\overline{H}_p^t(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, and e^+K_0 indicates the mapping to $e^+\overline{H}_p^t(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$.)

We have shown:

Theorem A.1. *Let $a>0$. The nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem* (A.6) *for $(1-\Delta)^a$ on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ is uniquely solvable, in that the operator* (A.5) *for $s>a-1/p'$ has the inverse*

$$\begin{pmatrix} r^+(1-\Delta)^a\\ \gamma_{a-1,0}\end{pmatrix}^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix} R_D & K_{a-1,0}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.15}$$

R_D and $K_{a-1,0}$ defined in (A.4) *and* (A.12).

Thirdly, we consider the boundary problem

$$r^+(1-\Delta)^a u=f,\quad \gamma_{a-1,1}u=\psi, \tag{A.16}$$

that we shall view as a *nonhomogeneous Neumann problem* for $(1-\Delta)^a$. We here assume $s > (a-1)+2-1/p' = a+1/p$, to use the construction in [G13] Th. 5.1 with $\mu = a-1$, $M=2$. Recall from [G13] (5.3)ff., that $\gamma_{a-1,1}$ acts as

$$\gamma_{a-1,1}\colon u \mapsto \Gamma(a+1)\gamma_0(\partial_n(x_n^{1-a}u)). \tag{A.17}$$

Moreover, we can infer from [G13] Ex. 5.3a (with $[\xi']$ replaced by $\langle\xi'\rangle$) that

$$\gamma_{a-1,1}u = \gamma_0\partial_n\Xi_+^{a-1}u - (a-1)\,\langle D'\rangle\,\gamma_{a-1,0}u.$$

for $u \in H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+)$ with $s > a+1/p$. Then for a null solution z written in the form $z = K_{a-1,0}\varphi = \Xi_+^{1-a}K_0\varphi$ (recall (4.10)), we have since $\gamma_0\partial_n K_0 = -\langle D'\rangle$,

$$\gamma_{a-1,1}z = \gamma_0\partial_n\Xi_+^{a-1}z - (a-1)\,\langle D'\rangle\,\gamma_{a-1,0}z = \gamma_0\partial_n K_0\varphi - (a-1)\langle D'\rangle\varphi = -a\,\langle D'\rangle\varphi.$$

Hence in order for z to solve (A.16) with $f=0$, φ must satisfy

$$\psi = -a\,\langle D'\rangle\varphi.$$

Since $a \neq 0$, the coefficient $-a\langle D'\rangle$ is an elliptic invertible ψdo, so (A.16) with $f=0$ is uniquely solvable with solution

$$z = K_N\psi, \text{ where } K_N = -K_{a-1,0}a^{-1}\langle D'\rangle^{-1} = -\Xi_+^{1-a}K_0a^{-1}\langle D'\rangle^{-1}. \tag{A.18}$$

To solve (A.16) with a given $f \neq 0$, and $\psi = 0$, we let $v = R_Df$ and reduce to the problem for $z = u - v$:

$$r^+(1-\Delta)^a(u-v) = 0, \quad \gamma_{a-1,1}(u-v) = -\gamma_{a-1,1}R_Df.$$

This has the unique solution

$$u - v = -K_N\gamma_{a-1,1}R_Df; \text{ hence } u = R_Df - K_N\gamma_{a-1,1}R_Df.$$

Altogether, we find:

Theorem A.2. *The Neumann problem* (A.16) *for* $(1-\Delta)^a$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ *is uniquely solvable, in that the operator*

$$\{r^+(1-\Delta)^a, \gamma_{a-1,1}\}\colon H_p^{(a-1)(s)}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) \to \overline{H}_p^{s-2a}(\mathbb{R}^n_+) \times B_p^{s-a-1/p}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), \tag{A.19}$$

for $s > a+1/p$ *is a homeomorphism, with inverse*

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_N & K_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (I - K_N\gamma_{a-1,1})R_D & K_N \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.20}$$

with R_D *and* K_N *described in* (A.4) *and* (A.18).

Note that there is here a *Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator* P_{DN} sending the Dirchlet-type data over into Neumann-type data for solutions of $r^+(1-\Delta)^a u = 0$:

$$P_{DN} = -a\langle D'\rangle. \tag{A.21}$$

Remark A.3. We have here assumed a real in order to relate to the fractional powers of the Laplacian, but all the above goes through in the same way if a is replaced by a complex μ with $\operatorname{Re}\mu > 0$; then in Sobolev exponents and inequalitites for s, a should be replaced by $\operatorname{Re}\mu$.

One can also let higher order boundary operators $\gamma_{a-1,j}$ enter in a similar way, defining single boundary conditions.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to X. Ros-Oton and J. Johnsen for useful discussions.

References

[A13]. N. Abatangelo, *Large s-harmonic functions and boundary blow-up solutions for the fractional Laplacian*, arXiv:1310.3193.

[A05]. H. Abels, *Pseudodifferential boundary value problems with non-smooth coefficients*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. **30** (2005), 1463–1503.

[AM09]. P. Albin and R. B. Melrose, *Fredholm realizations of elliptic symbols on manifolds with boundary*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **627** (2009), 155–181.

[B71]. L. Boutet de Monvel, *Boundary problems for pseudo-differential operators*, Acta Math. **126** (1971), 11–51.

[CS09]. L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *Regularity theory for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **62** (2009), 597–638.

[CD01]. O. Chkadua and R. Duduchava, *Pseudodifferential equations on manifolds with boundary: Fredholm property and asymptotics*, Math. Nachr. **222** (2001), 79–139.

[E81]. G. Eskin, *Boundary value problems for elliptic pseudodifferential equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1981.

[FKV13]. M. Felsinger, M. Kassman and P. Voigt, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlocal operators*, arXiv: 1309.5028.

[G90]. G. Grubb, *Pseudo-differential boundary problems in L_p-spaces*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. **13** (1990), 289–340.

[G96]. G. Grubb, *Functional calculus of pseudodifferential boundary problems. Progress in Math. vol. 65, Second Edition*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996, first edition issued 1986.

[G09]. G. Grubb, *Distributions and operators. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 252*, Springer, New York, 2009.

[G13]. G. Grubb, *Fractional Laplacians on domains, a development of Hörmander's theory of mu-transmission pseudodifferential operators*, arXiv:1310.0951, submitted.

[G14]. G. Grubb, *Spectral asymptotics for nonsmooth singular Green operators*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. **39** (2014), 530–573.

[GH90]. G. Grubb and L. Hörmander, *The transmission property*, Math. Scand. **67** (1990), 273–289.

[HS08]. G. Harutyunyan and B.-W. Schulze, *Elliptic mixed, transmission and singular crack problems. EMS Tracts in Mathematics, 4*, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.

[HJ96]. W. Hoh and N. Jacob, *On the Dirichlet problem for pseudodifferential operators generating Feller semigroups*, J. Functional Anal. **137** (1996), 19–48.

[H65]. L. Hörmander, *Ch. II, Boundary problems for "classical" pseudo-differential operators*, 1965, photocopied lecture notes at Inst. Adv. Study, Princeton.

[H83]. L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators, I*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1983.

[H85]. L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators, III*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1985.

[H97]. L. Hörmander, *Lectures on nonlinear hyperbolic equations, Mathématiques et Applications*, vol. 26, Springer Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1997.

[J96]. J. Johnsen, *Elliptic boundary problems and the Boutet de Monvel calculus in Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, Math. Scand. **79** (1996), 25–85.

[M93]. R. B. Melrose, *The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem*, A. K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1993.

[RS82]. S. Rempel and B.-W. Schulze, *Index theory of elliptic boundary problems*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1982.

[RS84]. S. Rempel and B.-W. Schulze, *Complex powers for pseudo-differential boundary problems II*, Math. Nachr. **116** (1984), 269–314.

[RS12]. X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra, *The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian*, J. Math. Pures Appl., arXiv:1207.5985, to appear.

[RS14]. X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra, *Boundary regularity for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations*, preprint.

[S01]. E. Schrohe, *A short introduction to Boutet de Monvel's calculus*, Approaches to Singular Analysis (J. Gil, D. Grieser, and M. Lesch, eds.), Birkhuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 85–116.
[S94]. E. Shargorodsky, *An L_p-analogue of the Vishik-Eskin theory*, Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics, Vol. 2, Math. Inst. Georgian Acad. Sci., Tblisi, 1994, pp. 41–146.
[T81]. M. E. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential Operators*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1981.
[T95]. H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, Differential operators (2nd edition)*, J. A. Barth, Leipzig, 1995.